

**February 2020  
Air Quality Report  
Site 174, Dennis Collins Park**

Attached is a technical summary of air quality data for February 2020 at the Site 174 cleanup site submitted by PPG Industries' air monitoring consultant.

This report provides air monitoring information about conditions at the perimeter associated with Site 174 (Dennis Collins Park).

Also, this document notes any deviations from the monitoring plan and work schedule caused by factors beyond the control of cleanup contractors, such as inclement weather and malfunctioning equipment.



Submitted to:  
PPG Industries, Inc.  
4325 Rosanna Drive  
Allison Park, PA

Submitted by:  
Emilcott  
25B Vreeland Road  
Suite 101  
Florham Park, NJ 07932

# Monthly Air Monitoring Report Site 174, Dennis Collins Park Bayonne, New Jersey

## Reporting Period: February 2020


Monthly Air Monitoring Report  
Site 174, Dennis Collins Park  
Bayonne, New Jersey

Reporting Period: February 2020



---

Prepared By: Carey Wu



---

Reviewed By: Dave Tomsey  
April 24, 2020

# Contents

<b>1.0 Introduction</b> .....	1-1
<b>2.0 Air Monitoring</b> .....	2-1
2.1 Integrated Air Sampling.....	2-2
2.1.1 Integrated Cr <sup>+6</sup> Sampling.....	2-2
2.1.2 Integrated Total Particulate Sampling.....	2-2
2.2 Real-Time Continuous Air Monitoring.....	2-3
2.2.1 Perimeter .....	2-3
2.2.2 Meteorological Measurements .....	2-3
2.3 Hand-held Air Monitoring .....	2-3
2.3.1 Perimeter PM <sub>10</sub> Hand-held Monitoring.....	2-3
<b>3.0 Site-Specific Acceptable Air Concentration and Real-Time Action Levels</b> .....	3-1
3.1 Integrated Cr <sup>+6</sup> Acceptable Air Concentration.....	3-1
3.2 Real-Time Alert and Action Levels .....	3-2
<b>4.0 Air Sampling and Monitoring Results</b> .....	4-1
4.1 Integrated Air Sampling Results.....	4-1
4.1.1 Cr <sup>+6</sup> Sampling Results.....	4-1
4.1.2 Total Particulate Sampling Results.....	4-3
4.1.3 Integrated Air Sampling Results Summary.....	4-3
4.2 Real-Time Air Monitoring Results.....	4-3
4.2.1 PM <sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results .....	4-3
4.3 Meteorological Monitoring Results.....	4-4
4.4 Hand-held Monitoring Results .....	4-4
4.5 Site Activities .....	4-4
4.6 Site Map(s) .....	4-4
<b>5.0 Conclusions</b> .....	5-1

## List of Appendices

Appendix A Monthly Results Summaries

Appendix B Program-to-Date Result Summaries

## List of Tables

Table 2-1: Air Monitoring Approach .....	2-2
Table 3-1: Running Cr <sup>+6</sup> Metrics .....	3-2
Table 3-2: Site-Specific Alert and Action Levels .....	3-2
Table 4-1: Short-Term Average 8-hour Integrated Cr <sup>+6</sup> Metrics .....	4-2

## List of Figures

Figure 2-1: Site Overview.....	2-3
--------------------------------	-----

## List of Acronyms

AAC – Acceptable Air Concentration

AMP – Air Monitoring Plan

AMS – Air Monitoring Station

Cr<sup>+6</sup> – Hexavalent Chromium

ng/m<sup>3</sup> – Nanograms per Cubic Meter of Air

NJDEP – New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

PM<sub>10</sub> – Particulate Matter 10 Microns or less in Diameter

PPG – PPG Industries, Inc.

µg/m<sup>3</sup> – Micrograms per Cubic Meter of Air

## Executive Summary

Air monitoring conducted at Site 174 was completed in accordance with the Site-Specific Air Monitoring Plan (AMP), and included sampling and analysis for 8-hour integrated hexavalent chromium ( $\text{Cr}^{+6}$ ) and total particulates, as well as real-time monitoring for  $\text{PM}_{10}$  at all air monitoring stations. In addition to the air monitoring conducted in accordance with the AMP, 24-hour  $\text{Cr}^{+6}$  and total particulate sampling with lab analysis was also conducted at one station. This program is designed to measure various aspects of air quality at the Site to ensure that remedial activities at the Site do not have an adverse effect on Site workers and the surrounding community.

Results of the integrated  $\text{Cr}^{+6}$  sampling and analysis indicate that program-to-date average airborne  $\text{Cr}^{+6}$  concentrations are significantly below the Acceptable Air Concentration (AAC) at each of the AMS locations. The results and calculations document continuing compliance with the current AAC set by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP), confirm that dust control measures continue to be effective, and indicate that the levels of  $\text{Cr}^{+6}$  in dust generated at the Site do not represent an emission source of  $\text{Cr}^{+6}$  sufficient to create potential offsite exposure to  $\text{Cr}^{+6}$  at or exceeding the AAC.

## 1.0 Introduction

This monthly air monitoring report update includes both tabular information and written discussions summarizing the ambient air quality data collected in accordance with the Air Monitoring Plan (AMP) at Site 174 (referred herein as Site), in Bayonne, New Jersey.

This monthly report is designed to provide a summary of the air monitoring data collected during the intrusive activities associated with Site 174 through the reporting period. This monthly report includes both monthly and program-to-date summaries of the following:

- Integrated hexavalent chromium analytical results;
- Integrated total particulate analytical results;
- Real-time 15-minute average PM<sub>10</sub> readings; and
- Meteorological conditions.

Results have been evaluated and compared to the Site-specific Acceptable Air Concentration (AAC) and the Action Levels in accordance with the AMP.



## 2.0 Air Monitoring

This report summarizes air monitoring at the Site performed between the baseline period and the end of the reporting period, with a focus on data collected during the recent month of activities. The baseline period includes data measured between January 1, 2020 and January 3, 2020.

Remedial activities began on the Site on January 6 2020. Air monitoring stations provided protection during intrusive work between January 6, 2020 and February 29, 2020. The site initially contained four ground level stations, with a fifth station added on January 30, 2020. One station collects Cr<sup>+6</sup> and total particulate samples for 24 hours during the week and 72 hours over the weekend. Locations of AMS during the reporting period are provided in Appendix A. Table 2-1 provides an overview of the air monitoring approach.

Air monitoring results to date have confirmed protection of the community, and the overall effectiveness of the program will be evaluated on a continuous basis. Success will ultimately be determined at the end of the remediation program when the average Cr<sup>+6</sup> concentrations at each AMS location are compared to the AAC. This monthly report has been designed to evaluate the program's effectiveness on a monthly basis and a program-to-date basis. The Cr<sup>+6</sup> average concentrations measured at each AMS will continually be compared to the site-specific AAC for Cr<sup>+6</sup> to confirm the effectiveness of the program. Thus, the monthly reports will focus largely on the integrated analytical results collected as part of the Cr<sup>+6</sup> fence-line air monitoring.

Air monitoring data collected at the Site includes:

- 8-hour integrated Cr<sup>+6</sup> and total particulate sample collection and associated laboratory analysis;
- 24-hour and 72-hour integrated Cr<sup>+6</sup> and total particulate samples collection and laboratory analysis; and
- Real-time 15-minute average PM<sub>10</sub>, readings measured at the perimeter.
- Hand-held readings for PM<sub>10</sub> measured at the perimeter.

The following sections outline the types of data collected, frequency of collection, and the corresponding locations.

**Table 2-1: Air Monitoring Approach**

Site	Station	Integrated Air Monitoring	Real-Time Air Monitoring
Site 174	AMS1, AMS2, AMS3, AMS4, AMS5	Integrated 8-hour Cr <sup>+6</sup> and total particulate sampling and analysis during work days. One 24-hour sample during the week and 72-hour over the weekend.	15-minute average PM <sub>10</sub> readings measured for a 24-hour period.

Note: 24-hour and 72-hour Cr<sup>+6</sup> sampling was conducted at station AMS-1 (1/6/20 – 1/29/20) and AMS-5 (1/30/20 – end of reporting period).

## 2.1 Integrated Air Sampling

Integrated Cr<sup>+6</sup> and total particulate samples are collected at each of the AMS for an 8-hour-to-10-hour duration each working day (typically Monday – Friday). Samples are collected on a pre-weighed polyvinyl chloride 37mm filter cassette for both Cr<sup>+6</sup> and total particulate. Sampling pumps operate at or around 2 liters per minute and are calibrated at the beginning and end of each sampling run.

### 2.1.1 Integrated Cr<sup>+6</sup> Sampling

The exposed Cr<sup>+6</sup> filters are shipped to an American Industrial Hygiene Association Industrial Hygiene Laboratory Accreditation Program-certified analytical laboratory for Cr<sup>+6</sup> analysis using Modified OSHA ID 215. The sample weights are provided by the laboratory with a laboratory detection limit of 20.0 ng. The sample weights and flow information are utilized to calculate 8-hour to 10-hour integrated Cr<sup>+6</sup> air concentrations in nanograms per cubic meter of air (ng/m<sup>3</sup>). Filter weights reported as non-detect are included in the concentration calculation at one-half the laboratory detection limit for data reporting purposes.

In addition to sampling performed during working hours, 24-hour and 72-hour Cr<sup>+6</sup> sampling and analysis are also performed at one AMS. These longer duration samples show Cr<sup>+6</sup> concentrations during overnight and weekend periods. The 24-hour samples are typically collected daily from 7AM to 7AM Monday through Thursday, and a single 72-hour sample is collected from 7AM Friday through 7AM Monday.

### 2.1.2 Integrated Total Particulate Sampling

The exposed total particulate filters are shipped to an American Industrial Hygiene Association Industrial Hygiene Laboratory Accreditation Program-certified analytical laboratory for total

particulate analysis using NIOSH Method 0500. The sample weights are provided by the laboratory with a laboratory detection limit of 100 ug. The sample weights and flow information are utilized to calculate 8-hour-to-10-hour integrated total particulate air concentrations in micrograms per cubic meter of air ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ). Filter weights reported as non-detect are included in the concentration calculation at one half the laboratory detection limit for data reporting purposes.

## **2.2 Real-Time Air Monitoring**

Real-time air monitoring is divided into two types of monitoring including: perimeter monitoring and meteorological monitoring. Each monitoring type is described in more detail in the following sections.

### **2.2.1 Perimeter**

Perimeter air monitoring consists of ground level stations at the perimeter of the Site. Perimeter monitoring includes the following:

- Real-time 15-minute average  $\text{PM}_{10}$  readings at each AMS location. All AMS operate during remedial activities and one AMS operates 24-hours a day, Monday through Sunday. Station AMS-1 operated 24-hours a day until station AMS-5 was added (1/30/2020), at which point AMS-5 became the 24-hour station location.

### **2.2.2 Meteorological Measurements**

Meteorological measurements of 15-minute average wind speed and direction, relative humidity, pressure, and temperature are recorded onsite, 24-hours a day, seven days a week.

## **2.3 Hand-held Air Monitoring**

Hand-held air monitoring consists of the collection of perimeter  $\text{PM}_{10}$  readings. Monitoring is described in more detail in the following section.

### **2.3.1 Perimeter $\text{PM}_{10}$ Hand-held Monitoring**

Hand-held readings will be taken along the downwind perimeter of the Site periodically each day during remedial activities and logged to be reported weekly. The readings will be collected as instantaneous readings and if levels are elevated, 15-minute averages will be recorded for comparison to adjacent perimeter stations.

### **3.0 Site-Specific Acceptable Air Concentration and Real-Time Action Levels**

Site-specific Acceptable Air Concentration (AAC) and real-time Action Levels have been established for Cr<sup>+6</sup> and real-time PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations by NJDEP as part of the approved AMP, in compliance with risk assessment procedures. The AAC and real-time Action Levels have been developed to protect off-site receptors from potential adverse health impacts from Cr<sup>+6</sup> and particulates over the duration of the intrusive remediation activities.

Real-time monitoring and integrated results are compared against the AAC and the real-time action levels to alert Site management of the potential need to enhance control of emissions and curtail operations to maintain concentrations at levels below the specified criteria. The AAC and real-time action levels for integrated Cr<sup>+6</sup> concentrations and real-time PM<sub>10</sub> are outlined in the following sections.

#### **3.1 Integrated Cr<sup>+6</sup> Acceptable Air Concentration**

A Site-specific Cr<sup>+6</sup> AAC has been established by NJDEP to protect off-site receptors from potential adverse health impacts due to potential exposure to Cr<sup>+6</sup> in dust. The AAC for Cr<sup>+6</sup> was developed to represent the maximum allowable average concentration of Cr<sup>+6</sup> in the air at each AMS over the project duration. The AAC is protective of human health based on a non-carcinogenic exposure endpoint with a duration of one calendar year or less for intrusive remedial activities.

The AAC of 487 ng/m<sup>3</sup> is applicable at the perimeter and represents the maximum allowable average concentration measured over the project duration and was developed to ensure the protection of human health. This AAC is also used to evaluate the effectiveness of dust control. PPG has established an operational goal of achieving a project average hexavalent chromium air concentration of 49 ng/m<sup>3</sup> to the extent practicable using best management practices throughout the duration of intrusive remedial activities at the site.

To ensure ongoing compliance with the AAC, shorter duration rolling averages are utilized to provide for the early and regular assessment of performance trends and, if necessary, allow for responsive corrective measures to be implemented to ensure that emissions of Cr<sup>+6</sup> are maintained well below the AAC over the duration of the project, and are minimized to the

greatest extent practicable. These shorter duration average concentrations metrics include: program-to-date, 90-day, 60-day, and 30-day running averages where the average Cr<sup>+6</sup> concentration over the previous 90-day, 60-day, and 30-day periods are calculated for each sample day. Sampling days are considered days where routine sampling was conducted (typically Monday – Friday). The shorter-term average concentrations are compared against the list of metrics provided in Table 3-1 which also depicts respective response actions.

**Table 3-1: Running Cr<sup>+6</sup> Metrics**

Metric Observation	Response Action
30-day <sup>1</sup> Cr <sup>+6</sup> average concentration greater than or equal to 400 ng/m <sup>3</sup>	External meeting to review levels, evaluate activities each day when elevated concentrations were observed, and trigger corrective action if required.
60-day <sup>1</sup> Cr <sup>+6</sup> average concentration greater than or equal to 300 ng/m <sup>3</sup>	
90-day <sup>1</sup> Cr <sup>+6</sup> average concentration greater than or equal to 200 ng/m <sup>3</sup>	
<sup>1</sup> Refers to days on which samples were collected, not necessarily calendar days	

### 3.2 Real-Time Alert and Action Levels

Real-time Alert and Action Levels were designed to monitor and assist in control of Site emissions to ensure protection of human health, and represent an important aspect of the remedial program at the Site. The real-time Alert and Action Levels used on Site are shown in Table 3-2.

**Table 3-2: Site-specific Alert and Action Levels**

Parameter	Alert Level (15-min TWA)	Action Level (15-min TWA)
PM <sub>10</sub>	255 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	339 µg/m <sup>3</sup>

## 4.0 Air Sampling and Monitoring Results

Results of air sampling and monitoring conducted between January 6, 2020 and February 29, 2020 are summarized herein. The following sections present both tabular and written discussions of the air sampling and monitoring results for the reporting period including:

- Monthly integrated and real-time results;
- Program-to-date integrated and real-time statistics;
- Evaluation of program success versus the Site-specific AAC and action levels;
- Meteorological results; and
- Hand-held monitoring results

Air sampling and monitoring results are presented in detail in the Appendices of this report. Appendix A includes summary of the air sampling and monitoring results, meteorological data, and site maps for the reporting period. Appendix B includes program-to-date statistics and monthly comparison of results.

### 4.1 Integrated Air Sampling Results

Results of the integrated  $\text{Cr}^{+6}$  and total particulate sampling and analysis are presented in the following sections.

#### 4.1.1 $\text{Cr}^{+6}$ Sampling Results

Results of the  $\text{Cr}^{+6}$  sampling from the reporting period and a program-to-date evaluation are discussed in the following sections. The short-term average integrated  $\text{Cr}^{+6}$  results are presented in Table 4-1.

#### Reporting Period

Individual integrated 8-hour  $\text{Cr}^{+6}$  concentrations measured during the reporting period are presented in Table A-1. If an individual sample result exceeds 80% of the project duration AAC, additional evaluation and review of relevant Site conditions and activities were performed to potentially modify procedures if necessary, to reduce the potential for increasing  $\text{Cr}^{+6}$  concentration trends. Any elevated concentration data during the reporting period are listed and discussed in Table A-4.

#### Program-to-date

Sampling and analytical statistics for integrated 8-hour  $\text{Cr}^{+6}$  results are shown in Table B-1 and include various program-to-date metrics relative to  $\text{Cr}^{+6}$  analytical data. Monthly average 8-hour  $\text{Cr}^{+6}$  concentration results are shown in Table B-2 for each AMS location.

**Table 4-1: Short-Term Average 8-hour Integrated Cr<sup>+6</sup> Metrics**

Running Cr <sup>+6</sup> Metrics <sup>1</sup>		Site 174				
	Metric (ng/m <sup>3</sup> )	AMS-1 ng/m <sup>3</sup>	AMS-2 ng/m <sup>3</sup>	AMS-3 ng/m <sup>3</sup>	AMS-4 ng/m <sup>3</sup>	AMS-5 ng/m <sup>3</sup>
<b>30-day<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>400</b>	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.3
<b>60-day<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>300</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>90-day<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>200</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>PTD<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>487</b>	4.7	6.0	5.7	6.7	2.0

ng/m<sup>3</sup> – nanograms per cubic meter    N/A – Not Applicable (not enough results currently collected to calculate specific metric)

1. Running Cr<sup>+6</sup> metrics are utilized to provide for the early and regular assessment of performance trends and, if necessary, allow for responsive corrective measures to be implemented ensuring that emissions of Cr<sup>+6</sup> are maintained well below the AAC over the duration of the project, and are minimized to the greatest extent practicable. The running Cr<sup>+6</sup> metrics are designed to evaluate the program success on short duration intervals (monthly) and do not represent the long-term (program) ending success.
2. Running Cr<sup>+6</sup> metrics are valid on the last day in the report period and include the previous 30, 60, or 90-days of sample results.
3. Program-to-date - Air monitoring conducted from January 6, 2020 through the end of the reporting period.

#### **4.1.2 Total Particulate Sampling Results**

Results of the 8-hour integrated total particulate sampling and analysis from the reporting period and program-to-date results are discussed in the following sections.

##### **Reporting Period**

Individual integrated 8-hour total particulate concentrations measured at each station during the reporting period are presented in Table A-2.

##### **Program-to-date**

Sampling and analytical statistics for integrated total particulate are shown in Table B-3 and include various metrics relative to total particulate analytical data. Monthly average total particulate concentration results are shown in Table B-4 for each AMS.

#### **4.1.3 Integrated Air Sampling Results Summary**

There have been 40 sample days between January 6<sup>th</sup> and the end of the reporting period for stations AMS-1 through AMS-5. The results of the sample analysis are summarized in the following sections.

##### **Air Monitoring**

The program through this reporting period shows the 8-hour Cr<sup>+6</sup> average concentrations, based upon lab analytical results at each AMS, were less than 1.37% of the AAC, demonstrating that the dust control measures continue to be effective.

#### **4.2 Real-Time Air Monitoring Results**

Real-time air monitoring for PM<sub>10</sub> is conducted during all remedial activities. The results of the real-time air monitoring are presented in the following sections.

##### **4.2.1 PM<sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results**

Results of the real-time PM<sub>10</sub> sampling for the reporting period and the start of intrusive activities are discussed in the following sections.

##### **Reporting Period**

Real-time 15-minute PM<sub>10</sub> averages measured during the reporting period are presented in Figure A-1. Real-time 15-minute PM<sub>10</sub> averages were compared directly to the PM<sub>10</sub> Action



Level ( $339 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) and averages greater than the action level are subject to additional evaluation. If applicable, elevated  $\text{PM}_{10}$  averages are listed and discussed in Table A-4.

### **Program-to-date**

Real-time monthly  $\text{PM}_{10}$  averages are shown in Table B-5 for each AMS. Dust readings measured during the reporting period are similar to those during the baseline period (when no intrusive activities were occurring). This indicates that dust control measures during intrusive activities have been effective.

### **4.3 Meteorological Monitoring Results**

Time series plots for wind speed, temperature, and relative humidity for the reporting period are shown in Figure A-2 through Figure A-4, respectively. A wind-rose for the month displaying the primary wind directions is shown in Figure A-5.

### **4.4 Hand-held Monitoring Results**

Maximum hand-held monitoring results during the reporting period are displayed in Table A-3. Readings were compared directly to the 15-Minute TWA Action Level ( $339 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) and averages greater than the action level are subject to additional evaluation. If applicable, elevated averages are listed and discussed in Table A-4.

### **4.5 Site Activities**

Activities which occurred on the site during the month of February included:

- Excavation and load out of non-hazardous soils and chromium-impacted material / soils;
- Delivery and placement of clean fill materials;
- Backfilling open excavations.

### **4.6 Site Map(s)**

Site maps during the reporting period are documented and included in Figure A-6.

## 5.0 Conclusions

Results of the February 2020 reporting period for the Site 174 air sampling and monitoring program indicate that the average Cr<sup>+6</sup> concentrations for each AMS are well below the site safety goal of 49 ng/m<sup>3</sup> and below the AAC of 487 ng/m<sup>3</sup>. The Cr<sup>+6</sup> concentrations and the percent Cr<sup>+6</sup> in dust samples through this period demonstrate that the dust control measures continue to be effective at maintaining concentrations of Cr<sup>+6</sup> in airborne dust at the Site well below the AAC. These results indicate that dust generated at the Site contains very small percentages of Cr<sup>+6</sup> and does not represent an emission source of Cr<sup>+6</sup> sufficient to create potential offsite exposure to Cr<sup>+6</sup> at or exceeding the AAC.

## **Appendix A**

### **Monthly Results Summaries**

- Integrated 8-hour Cr<sup>+6</sup> Concentrations
- Integrated 8-hour Total Particulate Concentrations
- Real-time PM<sup>10</sup> Readings
- Hand-held Readings
- Meteorological Data
- Site Map

**Table A- 1: Daily Integrated 8-hour Cr<sup>+6</sup> Sampling Results**

Date of Sample	AMS 1	AMS 2	AMS 3	AMS 4	AMS 5
Saturday, February 1, 2020					1.5
Sunday, February 2, 2020					1.5
Monday, February 3, 2020	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	1.8
Tuesday, February 4, 2020	5.0	5.0	5.5	5.5	1.8
Wednesday, February 5, 2020	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	1.8
Thursday, February 6, 2020	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	1.8
Friday, February 7, 2020	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	0.6
Saturday, February 8, 2020					0.6
Sunday, February 9, 2020					0.6
Monday, February 10, 2020	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.2	1.8
Tuesday, February 11, 2020	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.9	1.8
Wednesday, February 12, 2020	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	3.6
Thursday, February 13, 2020	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	1.8
Friday, February 14, 2020	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.0	1.4
Saturday, February 15, 2020					1.4
Sunday, February 16, 2020					1.4
Monday, February 17, 2020	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.3
Tuesday, February 18, 2020	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	3.9
Wednesday, February 19, 2020	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	1.8
Thursday, February 20, 2020	5.5	6.0	5.5	5.5	1.8
Friday, February 21, 2020	5.0	5.0	5.5	5.5	3.0
Saturday, February 22, 2020					3.0
Sunday, February 23, 2020					3.0
Monday, February 24, 2020	4.2	4.1	12.0	4.3	1.8
Tuesday, February 25, 2020	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.9	1.8
Wednesday, February 26, 2020	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.9	1.8
Thursday, February 27, 2020	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	1.8
Friday, February 28, 2020	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	0.6
Saturday, February 29, 2020					0.6

Results in nanograms per cubic meter

Highlighted cells indicate a detectable level of Cr<sup>+6</sup>. All other values are below the laboratory method detection limit (MDL).

Values below the MDL are shown in the table at one-half the MDL for data reporting purposes. This established practice is consistent with PPG's Site 114 reporting of non-detects by AECOM.

**Table A- 2: Daily Integrated 8-hour Total Particulate Sampling Results**


Date of Sample	AMS 1	AMS 2	AMS 3	AMS 4	AMS 5
Saturday, February 1, 2020					27.0
Sunday, February 2, 2020					27.0
Monday, February 3, 2020	55.0	55.0	50.0	50.0	17.0
Tuesday, February 4, 2020	50.0	50.0	50.0	110.0	16.5
Wednesday, February 5, 2020	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	17.0
Thursday, February 6, 2020	55.0	55.0	50.0	50.0	17.0
Friday, February 7, 2020	48.5	48.5	49.5	48.5	5.5
Saturday, February 8, 2020					5.5
Sunday, February 9, 2020					5.5
Monday, February 10, 2020	41.5	43.0	40.5	40.0	17.0
Tuesday, February 11, 2020	36.5	36.5	36.0	37.0	17.0
Wednesday, February 12, 2020	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	17.0
Thursday, February 13, 2020	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	17.0
Friday, February 14, 2020	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	5.5
Saturday, February 15, 2020					5.5
Sunday, February 16, 2020					5.5
Monday, February 17, 2020	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	17.0
Tuesday, February 18, 2020	110.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	16.5
Wednesday, February 19, 2020	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	17.0
Thursday, February 20, 2020	50.0	55.0	50.0	50.0	17.0
Friday, February 21, 2020	50.0	48.5	50.0	50.0	17.0
Saturday, February 22, 2020					17.0
Sunday, February 23, 2020					17.0
Monday, February 24, 2020	160.0	110.0	39.5	40.5	16.5
Tuesday, February 25, 2020	100.0	36.0	37.5	37.5	17.0
Wednesday, February 26, 2020	99.0	36.5	37.0	37.0	17.5
Thursday, February 27, 2020	55.0	55.0	50.0	55.0	17.0
Friday, February 28, 2020	55.0	55.0	55.0	110.0	5.5
Saturday, February 29, 2020					5.5

Results in micrograms per cubic meter

Highlighted cells indicate a detectable level of total particulate. All other values are below the laboratory method detection limit (MDL).

Values below the MDL are shown in the table at one-half the MDL for data reporting purposes. This established practice is consistent with PPG's Site 114 reporting of non-detects by AECOM.

Figure A- 1: Real-Time 15-minute average PM<sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results



**Table A-3: Daily Maximum Hand-held Monitoring Instantaneous Results**

Date	PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Time	Location
Saturday, February 1, 2020	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sunday, February 2, 2020	N/A	N/A	N/A
Monday, February 3, 2020	197	10:00	AMS 2
Tuesday, February 4, 2020	162	12:00	AMS 4
Wednesday, February 5, 2020	82	8:00	AMS 4
Thursday, February 6, 2020	88	11:00	AMS 4
Friday, February 7, 2020	80	14:00	AMS 2
Saturday, February 8, 2020	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sunday, February 9, 2020	N/A	N/A	N/A
Monday, February 10, 2020	62	14:00	AMS1
Tuesday, February 11, 2020	287	13:00	AMS2
Wednesday, February 12, 2020	122	13:00	AMS2
Thursday, February 13, 2020	216	10:00	AMS1
Friday, February 14, 2020	35	13:00	AMS2
Saturday, February 15, 2020	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sunday, February 16, 2020	N/A	N/A	N/A
Monday, February 17, 2020	127	6:30	AMS 4
Tuesday, February 18, 2020	89	7:00	AMS 3
Wednesday, February 19, 2020	45	15:00	AMS 4
Thursday, February 20, 2020	51	0.625	AMS 3
Friday, February 21, 2020	46	0.5	AMS 2
Saturday, February 22, 2020	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sunday, February 23, 2020	N/A	N/A	N/A
Monday, February 24, 2020	238	10:00	AMS 1
Tuesday, February 25, 2020	146	11:00	AMS 3
Wednesday, February 26, 2020	163	7:00	AMS 4
Thursday, February 27, 2020	31	10:00	AMS 1
Friday, February 28, 2020	96	13:00	AMS 1
Saturday, February 29, 2020	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Blank cells are days where no hand-held monitoring occurred. Cells containing N/A are days where no monitoring occurred.

**Table A- 4: Elevated Concentration Summary**

Parameter	Date	Time	Location	Wind Conditions	Elevated Concentration	Explanation
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
PM <sub>10</sub> – Respirable Particulate Matter measured in micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) ng/m <sup>3</sup> – nanograms per cubic meter µg/m <sup>3</sup> – micrograms per cubic meter NA – Not Applicable ND –No Data						



Figure A-2: Wind Speed




Figure A-3: Temperature




Figure A-4: Relative Humidity








Figure A-6: Site Maps Site 174

(02.01.20 – End of Reporting Period)




**Legend:**

 Fenceline AMS

 AMS & Meteorological Station

**Definitions:**

AMS – Air Monitoring Station



## **Appendix B**

### **Program-to-date Result Summaries**

- Integrated 8-hour Cr<sup>+6</sup> Concentration Summaries
- Integrated 8-hour Total Particulate Concentration Summaries
- Real-time PM<sup>10</sup> Concentrations Summaries

**Table B- 1: Program-to-date Integrated 8-hour Cr<sup>+6</sup> Sampling Results Statistics**

Statistics <sup>1</sup>	Site 174				
	AMS 1	AMS 2	AMS 3	AMS 4	AMS 5
Total Number of Samples <sup>1</sup>	40	40	40	40	22
Rate of Data Collection	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Number of Detected Samples <sup>2</sup>	5	4	2	3	6
% of Cr <sup>+6</sup> Samples Greater than MDL	12.5%	10.0%	5.0%	7.5%	27.3%
Number of Samples Above AAC	0	0	0	0	0
Average % Cr <sup>+6</sup> in Dust <sup>3</sup>	0.011%	0.011%	0.011%	0.012%	0.014%
Maximum % Cr <sup>+6</sup> in Dust <sup>3</sup>	0.039%	0.026%	0.030%	0.067%	0.031%

Results in ng/m<sup>3</sup> – nanograms per cubic meter

<sup>1</sup> Total number of samples collected since January 6, 2020. Variations in the number of samples collected are specifically identified in Table A-1 within the report month of the variation. In general variations are caused by sampler malfunctions, site activities, weather conditions, etc.

<sup>2</sup> Total number of sample results since January 6, 2020, reported above the laboratory reporting limit.

<sup>3</sup> The program-to-date average and maximum percent Cr<sup>+6</sup> in dust was calculated using all the integrated Total Particulate and Cr<sup>+6</sup> sample results collected since January 6, 2020.

**Table B- 2: Monthly Average Integrated 8-hour Cr<sup>+6</sup> Sampling Results**

Statistics	Site 174				
	AMS 1	AMS 2	AMS3	AMS 4	AMS 5
January	4.4	6.8	6.0	8.1	3.5
February	5.1	5.1	5.5	5.1	1.9
Program to Date	4.7	6.0	5.7	6.7	2.0

All readings in ng/m<sup>3</sup> – nanograms per cubic meter

**Table B- 3: Program-to-date Integrated Total Particulate 8-hour Sampling Results Statistics**

Statistics	Site 174				
	AMS 1	AMS 2	AMS 3	AMS 4	AMS 5
Total Number of Samples <sup>1</sup>	40	40	40	40	22
Rate of Data Collection	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Number of Detected Samples <sup>2</sup>	6	2	0	3	2
% Detection	15.0%	5.0%	0.0%	7.5%	9.1%

Results in ng/m<sup>3</sup> – nanograms per cubic meter

<sup>1</sup> Total number of samples collected since January 6, 2020. Variations in the number of samples collected are specifically identified in Table A-1 within the report month of the variation. In general variations are caused by sampler malfunctions, site activities, weather conditions, etc.

<sup>2</sup> Total number of sample results since January 6, 2020, reported above the laboratory reporting limit.



**Table B- 4: Monthly Average Integrated 8-hour Total Particulate Sampling Results**

Statistics	Site 174				
	AMS 1	AMS 2	AMS 3	AMS 4	AMS 5
January	37.4	58.4	54.1	56.0	41.0
February	63.3	51.7	47.3	50.5	14.5
Program to Date	48.0	55.3	50.9	53.5	16.2
All readings in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ – micrograms per cubic meter					

**Table B- 5: Monthly Average Real-Time PM<sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results**

Statistics	Site 174				
	AMS 1	AMS 2	AMS 3	AMS 4	AMS 5
January	7.7	22.5	21.4	80.6	15.6
February	10.4	25.0	26.2	32.7	24.0
Program to Date	8.8	24.0	24.0	55.0	14.0
All readings in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ – micrograms per cubic meter					